The Conquest of Freedom: How Five Millennia of Celebrated Violence Met Its Final Frontier

Epic Poetry, Divine Command, and the Systematic Elimination of Autonomous Lifeways from the Bronze Age to the Americas

Thomas J. Bryan, Claude/Anthropic

ABSTRACT

European colonial expansion into the Americas (1492-1900) is typically analyzed as a distinct historical phenomenon requiring unique explanation—European greed, racism, religious fanaticism, or technological advantage. This framing obscures a more fundamental pattern: colonialism was not a novel development but rather the deployment of domination mechanisms refined over five millennia in the Eastern Hemisphere against the last large populations who had not yet been subjected to them.

This paper argues that agricultural states independently developed identical mechanisms across civilizations: using surplus-supported warriors to eliminate populations practicing mobile lifeways, then transforming that violence into heroic narrative through epic poetry, sacred history, and dynastic chronicles. By 1500 CE, Eurasian and North African civilizations had completed a multi-millennial process of eliminating or incorporating hunter-gatherer and pastoral populations, consolidating the Eastern Hemisphere under agricultural states governed by extraction-based elites. These states had developed sophisticated military technologies, organizational structures, and—critically—narrative frameworks that naturalized hierarchy and celebrated the elimination of alternatives.

Drawing on comparative evidence from Greek, Hebrew, Roman, and Chinese sources alongside colonial American materials, the analysis demonstrates structural identity between Bronze Age Greek campaigns against "shaggy peoples," biblical conquest narratives commanding complete destruction, Roman subjugation of "barbarians," Chinese consolidation against nomadic populations, and European colonial violence against indigenous Americans. The textual parallels—populations described as savage/wild, violence justified as divine

mission, conquest celebrated as heroism, narratives training audiences to identify with conquerors—reveal that colonialism deployed precisely the mechanisms documented in ancient sources against new targets.

The paper concludes that what we term "world history" is more accurately understood as documentation of a single process: the five-thousand-year systematic elimination of autonomous human lifeways and the transformation of that elimination into celebrated progress through sophisticated narrative engineering. The colonial encounter represents not European exceptionalism but rather the final large-scale application of domination technologies perfected across the Eastern Hemisphere over five millennia.

I. INTRODUCTION: THE PUZZLE OF DISAPPEARANCE

The Missing Peoples

Archaeological and anthropological evidence indicates that for most of human history—roughly 300,000 years—populations subsisted through hunting, gathering, and mobile pastoralism. These lifeways required 15-20 hours of work weekly, provided diverse diets, allowed substantial autonomy, and demonstrated remarkable sustainability across diverse environments. Agriculture emerged only around 10,000 years ago in specific regions, initially coexisting with mobile populations rather than immediately replacing them.

Yet by classical antiquity (roughly 500 BCE - 500 CE in the Mediterranean, comparable periods elsewhere), mobile populations had largely disappeared from regions dominated by agricultural states. In Greece, the Mediterranean littoral, Mesopotamia, China's Yellow River valley, and other centers of early civilization, hunter-gatherer and nomadic pastoral populations either no longer existed or survived only in marginal territories unsuitable for intensive agriculture.

The standard explanation frames this disappearance as natural evolution. Mobile populations, the narrative suggests, recognized agriculture's superiority and voluntarily adopted sedentary farming. This transition is portrayed as progress—humanity advancing from primitive subsistence to civilized abundance. The story appears in textbooks, museums, and popular histories: agriculture enabled civilization, and rational populations embraced it once the option became available.

However, this evolutionary narrative faces substantial problems. First, archaeological evidence indicates agriculture initially made life worse for most individuals—shorter lifespans, poorer nutrition, harder labor, and increased disease compared to foraging. Second, historical records show mobile populations actively resisting incorporation into agricultural states well into modern periods. Third, the narrative cannot explain why violence so consistently appears in texts documenting agricultural expansion if the transition was voluntary and beneficial.

This paper proposes an alternative explanation: mobile populations did not voluntarily disappear. They were systematically eliminated—killed, displaced, or forcibly assimilated—by agricultural states deploying organized violence. Critically, this violence was not merely conducted but celebrated, transformed into heroic narrative that trained audiences to view conquest as admirable rather than to recognize systematic destruction.

The Evidence in Plain Sight

Ancient literature preserves extensive documentation of violence against mobile populations. The Greek *Iliad* casually mentions heroes "driving out" the "shaggy people of the wilds." Hebrew scriptures extensively chronicle the conquest and destruction of Canaanite populations, commanding that they "save alive nothing that breathes." Roman histories celebrate subjugating "barbarian" tribes. Chinese annals detail campaigns against nomadic peoples. These texts are not hidden or obscure—they are foundational literature studied for millennia.

Yet the violence they document is consistently framed as heroic rather than criminal. The audiences these texts trained—generation after generation for thousands of years—learned to identify with conquerors eliminating alternatives rather than with populations defending their autonomy. The literatures that form the "Western canon" and equivalents in other civilizations systematically celebrate the elimination of mobile lifeways while presenting that elimination as natural, justified, and admirable.

The Colonial Connection

If violence against mobile populations was universal pattern, then European colonialism requires not explanation for unique cruelty but rather explanation for unique *success*. Why did European expansion in the Americas eliminate or subjugate indigenous populations more thoroughly and rapidly than previous conquests?

The answer proposed here: colonialism represented the deployment of domination technologies refined over five millennia against populations who had not yet developed equivalent systems. By 1500 CE, the Eastern Hemisphere had completed a multi-thousand-year process of consolidation under agricultural states. European expansion encountered the last large population centers where that process remained incomplete—creating asymmetric conflict between highly refined domination systems and populations at earlier developmental stages or successfully maintaining autonomous lifeways.

This reframing has profound implications:

First, colonialism becomes comprehensible within universal patterns rather than requiring European exceptionalism. Second, the mechanisms become visible as deployments of ancient technologies rather than novel inventions. Third, the continuity becomes clear between ancient celebration of conquest and modern "exploration" mythology. Fourth, the completeness becomes apparent of the five-thousand-year elimination of autonomous alternatives.

II. THE MECHANISM: SURPLUS, WARRIORS, AND NARRATIVE

The Material Foundation

Mobile hunter-gatherer societies typically lack specialized warrior classes. Conflict occurs—territorial disputes, resource competition, status contests—but sustained military campaigns requiring months or years of organized violence are economically impractical. Every able-bodied adult contributes to subsistence; diverting substantial populations to full-time warfare means starvation.

Agricultural surplus changes this equation fundamentally. When farming populations produce more food than they consume, non-laboring specialists become economically viable. The surplus that supports priestly classes, administrators, and craftsmen can equally support professional warriors. These warriors do not farm, do not hunt, do not gather—they train for and conduct violence as specialized occupation.

The arithmetic is straightforward. If agricultural productivity enables one farmer to feed three people, then for every three farmers, two non-laborers can be supported. If one of those non-laborers is a full-time warrior, the society can maintain a standing military force comprising roughly 25% of adult males—a ratio vastly exceeding what mobile societies can sustain.

This military capacity creates asymmetric power dynamics. A hunter-gatherer band encountering agricultural state warriors faces opponents who train constantly in combat (warriors' full-time occupation), possess superior numbers (surplus supports more fighters), deploy better equipment (surplus supports metallurgy, specialized weapons), coordinate systematically (state organization enables large-scale tactics), and sustain campaigns indefinitely (agricultural base provides reliable food supply).

The material advantage is overwhelming. Mobile populations practicing guerrilla resistance can sometimes prevail temporarily, but sustained defense against organized state militaries typically fails. The question is not whether agricultural states could eliminate mobile populations militarily—the capacity is clear. The question is whether they chose to, and how they justified that choice.

Strategic Incentives for Elimination

Agricultural states possessed multiple motivations for eliminating rather than coexisting with mobile populations:

Territorial competition drove expansion. Mobile populations occupied territories suitable for agricultural development. Rather than negotiating coexistence or establishing boundaries, elimination provided complete access to desired land.

Security concerns motivated preemptive action. Mobile populations could raid agricultural settlements, steal livestock, or retreat beyond state control after conflicts. Elimination removed these threats permanently.

Labor acquisition offered economic benefit. Conquest could provide slaves or subordinated workers. Populations not eliminated were often forcibly incorporated as lowest-status laborers.

Ideological demonstration reinforced elite legitimacy. Successful conquest demonstrated divine favor and military prowess, reinforcing claims to legitimate authority over agricultural populations.

Precedent setting facilitated further expansion. Eliminating some mobile populations warned others to submit or face similar destruction, facilitating expansion through fear.

These incentives existed universally wherever agricultural states bordered mobile populations. The material capacity (surplus-supported warriors) combined with strategic motivations created systematic pressure toward violent elimination rather than peaceful coexistence.

The Narrative Transformation

Violence alone does not produce stable domination. Populations witnessing or participating in systematic elimination require frameworks explaining why this violence was acceptable, even admirable. Without such frameworks, the violence appears criminal—the powerful destroying the weak for gain. Elites who conducted eliminations needed narratives transforming conquest into righteousness.

Ancient literature provided precisely these frameworks. Across civilizations, conquest narratives shared common elements:

First, they delegitimized the conquered. Populations slated for elimination were described as less than fully human—"wild," "savage," "without civilization," "shaggy people." Their lifeways were characterized as animal-like, their religions as abominations, their social organization as chaos. This categorical demotion made violence against them fundamentally different from violence against "civilized" peoples.

Second, they reframed aggression as defense. The texts consistently present populations defending their territories as committing aggression. Indigenous peoples "threaten" by existing on desired land. Resistance to conquest becomes rebellion against rightful authority. The framework makes conquerors appear as defenders and the conquered as aggressors.

Third, they invoked divine or cosmic authorization. By connecting military success to divine favor (Zeus's descendants, Mandate of Heaven, God's commands), the texts make conquest appear

as fulfilling cosmic purpose rather than serving elite interests. Questioning conquest becomes questioning the gods themselves.

Fourth, they established precedent for future violence. Each generation learning these texts internalizes that conquest has always been admirable (heroes of old did it), that successful societies eliminate alternatives (that's how we got here), that divine forces approve conquest (otherwise heroes would have failed), and that celebrating conquerors is culturally appropriate (epic preserves their glory).

These precedents justify contemporary and future violence. If the ancestors righteously drove out "wild peoples," then contemporary campaigns against populations resisting incorporation are following honored tradition rather than committing crimes.

The Intergenerational Loop

The mechanism's power lies in its self-reinforcing nature. Each generation learns the narratives as children through education, religious instruction, and cultural participation. They internalize the values embedded in narratives—conquest is heroic, alternatives are inferior, resistance justifies elimination. They teach the next generation, transmitting both narratives and interpretations. They justify contemporary violence using ancestral precedents as models. They create new narratives celebrating contemporary conquests, adding these to the tradition transmitted forward.

The cycle continues across millennia. Children in ancient Greece learned about Polypoetes; their descendants learned about Alexander's conquests; their descendants learned about Roman expansion; their descendants learned about European colonial expansion—each generation building on precedents established by previous celebrations of conquest.

The accumulation creates deep cultural programming. By the time individuals encounter moral questions about conquest, they've been exposed to thousands of hours of narratives celebrating it. The moral framework is pre-installed before critical thinking develops.

III. THE GREEK EVIDENCE: DRIVING OUT THE WILD

The Catalogue of Ships

The *Iliad*'s "Catalogue of Ships" (Book 2, lines 484-877) lists the Greek forces sailing to Troy, establishing the credentials of heroes through their lineages and achievements. Among these entries appears a remarkable passage about Polypoetes, a Thessalian commander:

"Polypoetes, staunch in battle, was their leader, Son of Pirithous, whom immortal Zeus begot... Polypoetes, who was born the day that Pirithous Drove the shaggy beast-men [Centaurs] out of Pelion And thrust them to the Aethices."

The passage presents Pirithous's violence against the "shaggy beast-men" not as atrocity but as credential—Polypoetes's legitimacy derives partly from his father's successful elimination of these peoples from Mount Pelion.

The Greek term typically translated as "Centaurs" (*Pheres*) literally means "beast-men" or "wild ones." While later Greek mythology elaborated these figures into half-horse creatures, the earliest references suggest populations living outside Greek agricultural civilization—described as "shaggy" (wearing animal skins rather than woven cloth), associated with mountainous wilderness, and clearly distinguished from the civilized Greeks who "drove them out."

The Narrative Framework

Several elements of this passage warrant analysis:

The description as "shaggy beast-men" dehumanizes through language. These aren't Greeks being displaced but something between human and animal—creatures whose elimination requires no moral justification. The Greek agricultural city-states are implicitly civilized; the mountain peoples are wild, bestial, other.

The framing as heroic achievement celebrates the violence. Pirithous is "immortal Zeus's" son; his actions have divine sanction. Polypoetes commands respect partly because his father successfully eliminated these peoples. The text doesn't question whether the elimination was just—it presents the elimination as *positive credential*.

The casual mention suggests normalized violence. This isn't a central plot point requiring extended justification. The elimination of "shaggy people" is mentioned in passing, as unremarkable background. The audience is expected to find nothing troubling about celebrating a hero whose father drove populations from their lands.

The divine descent as authorization connects violence to cosmic order. Pirithous is son of Zeus; his actions carry divine sanction. A demigod's violence is not merely permitted but cosmically appropriate—Zeus's descendant fulfilling heroic destiny rather than a warlord committing atrocities.

Archaeological Context

Archaeological evidence from Bronze Age and early Iron Age Greece indicates the region did support mobile populations before the rise of Mycenaean and later city-states. Mountainous regions like Pelion were unsuitable for intensive agriculture but viable for hunting, gathering, and pastoralism. The *Iliad*'s casual reference likely documents actual historical violence—agricultural city-states in lowland Thessaly eliminating or displacing mobile populations from surrounding highlands to control resources and remove potential threats.

The "shaggy people" probably practiced lifeways similar to documented hunter-gatherers elsewhere: mobile subsistence, egalitarian social organization, low population density. They

likely had no state organization, no writing, no permanent settlements—making them vulnerable to organized military campaigns while leaving minimal archaeological trace of their existence or destruction.

The Broader Pattern in Greek Sources

The *Iliad*'s reference is not isolated. Greek literature consistently presents violence against "uncivilized" peoples as heroic:

The Theseus myths celebrate the Athenian hero for defeating "barbarian" populations around Attica, establishing Athenian territorial control. The violence is framed as bringing civilization and order to chaos.

Several of Heracles' famous labors involve defeating monsters or peoples representing wild nature—the Erymanthian Boar, the Stymphalian Birds, the Amazons. While mythological, these narratives encode the theme: the hero's role is conquering and controlling wildness.

Greek colonization of the Mediterranean (8th-6th centuries BCE) consistently describes founding cities in territories occupied by "barbarians" who must be displaced or subordinated. The colonies are presented as bringing Greek civilization to uncivilized regions.

The consistent pattern: violence against non-Greek, non-agricultural, or non-urban populations is heroic. The elimination or subjugation of alternatives to Greek agricultural city-state civilization is admirable achievement worthy of epic celebration and divine favor.

IV. THE HEBREW EVIDENCE: DIVINE COMMAND TO DESTROY

The Conquest Narrative

The Hebrew Bible's conquest narrative (primarily Joshua and Judges, compiled c. 7th-5th centuries BCE, describing purported events c. 1200 BCE) presents systematic elimination of existing populations not merely as justified but as divinely commanded. The text is explicit about both the violence and its theological authorization.

Deuteronomy 20 establishes the rules of warfare, distinguishing between distant cities and nearby populations:

"When you draw near to a city to fight against it, offer terms of peace to it. And if it responds to you peaceably and it opens to you, then all the people who are found in it shall do forced labor for you and shall serve you. But if it makes no peace with you, but makes war against you, then you shall besiege it. And when the LORD your God gives it into your hand, you shall put all its

males to the sword, but the women and the little ones, the livestock, and everything else in the city, all its spoil, you shall take as plunder for yourselves..."

This applies to distant cities. For nearby Canaanite populations, the command is more severe:

"But in the cities of these peoples that the LORD your God is giving you for an inheritance, you shall save alive nothing that breathes, but you shall devote them to complete destruction, the Hittites and the Amorites, the Canaanites and the Perizzites, the Hivites and the Jebusites, as the LORD your God has commanded, that they may not teach you to do according to all their abominable practices that they have done for their gods, and so you sin against the LORD your God." (Deuteronomy 20:16-18, ESV)

The command is unambiguous: complete destruction, "save alive nothing that breathes." The Hebrew term is *herem*—devoted to complete destruction. The justification: preventing religious contamination—these peoples might teach Israelites their practices.

The Implementation

Joshua's campaign descriptions document systematic implementation:

Regarding Jericho (Joshua 6:21): "Then they devoted all in the city to destruction, both men and women, young and old, oxen, sheep, and donkeys, with the edge of the sword."

Regarding Ai (Joshua 8:24-26): "When Israel had finished killing all the inhabitants of Ai in the open wilderness where they pursued them, and all of them to the very last had fallen by the edge of the sword, all Israel returned to Ai and struck it down with the edge of the sword. And all who fell that day, both men and women, were 12,000, all the people of Ai. But Joshua did not draw back his hand with which he stretched out the javelin until he had devoted all the inhabitants of Ai to destruction."

Regarding Makkedah (Joshua 10:28): "As for Makkedah, Joshua captured it on that day and struck it, and its king, with the edge of the sword. He devoted to destruction every person in it; he left none remaining."

The pattern continues through multiple cities: Libnah, Lachish, Eglon, Hebron, Debir—each described as completely destroyed, populations eliminated, "just as the LORD God of Israel commanded" (Joshua 10:40).

The Ideological Framework

The conquest narrative establishes several principles that justify and celebrate the violence:

Divine command supersedes ordinary moral law. The same text prohibiting murder in the Ten Commandments commands total destruction against Canaanites. The contradiction is resolved through divine sovereignty—God's commands define morality, and His instruction to eliminate these populations makes their destruction righteous.

Land as divine gift requires violent acquisition. The "Promised Land" narrative frames Canaan as divinely designated for Israel, but currently occupied by others. The promise does not mean peaceful coexistence but rather conquest and displacement. The existing populations are obstacles to divine will, not peoples with legitimate territorial claims.

Canaanite practices justify their destruction. The text emphasizes Canaanite religious practices—child sacrifice, temple prostitution, worship of other gods—as justifying elimination. The populations are presented as irredeemably corrupt, with destruction as righteous purging rather than as ethnic violence for territorial gain.

Incomplete elimination is failure. Passages describing incomplete conquest are framed as failures rather than as mercy. When Israelites allow some Canaanites to survive, this disobedience causes problems—the survivors teach Israelites forbidden practices, leading to divine punishment. Complete destruction would have been preferable.

The conquest becomes foundational sacred history. These events are not shameful history to be forgotten but celebrated foundation—Israel's origin story involves divinely sanctioned conquest. Generation after generation hearing these texts learn that violent elimination of populations practicing alternative lifeways is not merely acceptable but can be sacred duty when divinely commanded.

Historical Reality vs. Narrative Function

Modern archaeology indicates the biblical conquest narrative likely does not describe actual events as written. Evidence suggests no sudden population replacement in Canaan c. 1200 BCE, gradual cultural transition rather than violent conquest, and early "Israelites" who were probably indigenous Canaanites developing distinct identity. The conquest narrative was compiled centuries after purported events.

However, the narrative's historical accuracy is less important than its function. Whether or not these specific destructions occurred, the text trains audiences to believe that populations practicing alternative religions or lifeways can legitimately be eliminated, that divine command can authorize violence that would otherwise be criminal, that successful conquest demonstrates divine favor, and that complete destruction is preferable to coexistence when commanded.

The narrative served ongoing purposes: justifying continuing conflicts with neighbors, establishing precedent that religious difference legitimates violence, and training populations to view conquest as potentially sacred rather than as invariably criminal.

V. THE ROMAN EVIDENCE: CIVILIZATION AND SUBJUGATION

The Ideology of Universal Conquest

Roman literature presents territorial expansion as bringing civilization to barbarism, framing violence not as conquest for profit but as beneficial transformation for conquered populations. This "civilizing mission" ideology provided moral justification for systematic elimination or subjugation of populations practicing alternative lifeways.

Virgil's *Aeneid* (29-19 BCE) establishes Rome's cosmic purpose through Jupiter's prophecy:

"For these I set no bounds in space or time; I have granted them dominion without end... His people, the toga-wearing race of Romans, will impose their customs on the world, will tame the proud and spare the conquered who submit."

The phrase "spare the conquered who submit" (*parcere subiectis*) reveals the framework: populations have two options—submit to Roman rule or face elimination. "Sparing" the conquered is presented as mercy, obscuring that the alternative is annihilation.

Caesar: Elimination as Strategic Necessity

Julius Caesar's *Commentaries on the Gallic War* (58-49 BCE) documents his campaigns in Gaul with remarkable frankness about violence while framing it as military necessity and civilizing achievement.

The Veneti, a maritime tribe in northwestern Gaul, resist Roman authority. Caesar's response demonstrates the systematic nature of Roman elimination campaigns:

"I decided that the Veneti should be punished with the utmost severity so that in future barbarians would take more care to respect the rights of ambassadors. Therefore, having executed the entire senate, I sold the rest of the population into slavery."

The justification—protecting ambassadorial rights—obscures that the Veneti's "crime" was resisting Roman conquest. The execution of their entire governing class followed by mass enslavement was not excessive brutality but calculated policy: demonstrating that resistance leads to elite elimination and population subjugation.

When Germanic tribes cross the Rhine into Gaul, Caesar portrays them as threatening civilization itself:

"I believed it mattered greatly to the reputation and prestige of the Roman people to prevent this practice of Germanic tribes crossing the Rhine into Gaul at will... I decided that the matter must be addressed by war."

The subsequent campaign involved a surprise attack on migrating populations—including women, children, and elderly—resulting in what modern analysis would classify as massacre:

"The Germans, being suddenly attacked when they expected negotiation, were thrown into confusion... Many thousands were killed; the rest threw themselves into the river, where they perished, overcome by fear and exhaustion and the strength of the current."

Caesar frames this as military victory rather than as massacre of refugees. The Germanic tribes weren't armies invading but populations migrating—yet Caesar presents their elimination as protecting civilization.

Tacitus: Documenting the Cost

The historian Tacitus (c. 56-120 CE) provides extensive documentation of Roman violence against populations resisting incorporation. In his *Agricola*, he describes his father-in-law's campaigns against British tribes:

"He trained the sons of chieftains in the liberal arts... The result was that peoples who had lately been rejecting the Roman tongue now conceived a desire for eloquence. Consequently, the toga became a distinction, and little by little there was a slide toward the allurements of degeneracy: porticoes and baths and elegant banquets. Among the inexperienced this was called civilization, when in reality it was part of their enslavement."

This remarkable passage reveals the mechanism: cultural transformation masking subjugation. Britons taught Latin and given Roman amenities were not being liberated but domesticated. Tacitus, unusually, names this "enslavement"—but Roman imperial ideology generally maintained the "civilization" framing.

In his *Germania*, Tacitus describes the Germanic tribes with a mixture of anthropological interest and ideological framing. While he notes Germanic military prowess and social customs appreciatively, the text ultimately serves to mark them as lacking civilization's fundamentals, justifying Roman campaigns to incorporate or eliminate them.

The Consistent Pattern

Roman sources demonstrate the same elements as Greek and Hebrew materials:

Delegitimizing description of target populations. Germanic tribes and Britons are "barbarians" lacking proper civilization. Their resistance is portrayed as aggression against natural order.

Divine or cosmic authorization. Rome has "dominion without end" by Jupiter's decree. Roman expansion fulfills cosmic purpose, not merely imperial ambition.

Civilizing mission framing. Violence brings benefits to the conquered—cities, roads, law, culture. Subjugation is presented as gift rather than as extraction mechanism.

Celebration of eliminators. Caesar's triumphs honored his Gallic conquests. Generals who eliminated or subjugated populations were heroes, their violence admirable achievement.

VI. THE CHINESE EVIDENCE: ORDER AGAINST CHAOS

The Northern Campaigns

Chinese sources provide extensive documentation of campaigns against nomadic populations along the northern frontier. From the Warring States period (475-221 BCE) through multiple dynasties, Chinese states conducted systematic warfare against peoples variously termed Xiongnu, Xianbei, Rong, Di, and other designations—all generally meaning populations practicing mobile pastoralism rather than sedentary agriculture.

The *Shiji* (Records of the Grand Historian), compiled by Sima Qian around 100 BCE, documents centuries of conflict:

"The Xiongnu dwell in the north. They move with their herds to seek grass and water. They have no walled cities, no fixed residences, and no agriculture... When the Chinese are strong, they submit. When the Chinese are weak, they raid and plunder."

This ethnographic description—mobile herding, lack of cities, lack of agriculture—frames the Xiongnu as fundamentally different from "civilized" Chinese. The pattern of submission and raiding justifies continuous military pressure.

Han Dynasty campaigns (206 BCE - 220 CE) conducted systematic warfare against the Xiongnu:

"The Emperor dispatched 100,000 cavalry to the northern frontier... They killed more than 19,000 Xiongnu and captured countless livestock. The Xiongnu fled beyond the desert, and the Hexi corridor was emptied of barbarians."

The campaign is celebrated for eliminating populations from desired territory. "Emptied of barbarians" is presented as achievement, not as description of ethnic cleansing.

The Mandate of Heaven

Chinese imperial ideology justified conquest through the concept of the Mandate of Heaven. The emperor ruled with divine sanction; extension of Chinese control over "barbarian" regions brought cosmic order to chaos.

This framework functioned identically to Western divine right:

The emperor represented civilization and order. Populations outside Chinese control represented chaos requiring rectification. Successful expansion demonstrated divine favor. Violence bringing populations under imperial rule was cosmically appropriate.

The Confucian scholar Dong Zhongshu (179-104 BCE) articulated the ideology:

"The ruler is to be identified with Heaven... When the ruler's virtue is perfected, all people will be transformed. The barbarians will come to submit without the use of force."

In practice, of course, force was constantly used. But the ideology framed conquest as extending civilization's benefits—identical to Roman "civilizing mission."

The Wall and What It Meant

The construction of walls along China's northern frontier—culminating in various iterations of the Great Wall—represented the physical boundary between "civilization" and "barbarism." But walls were supplemented by campaigns:

"When the barbarians submit, we receive them with benevolence. When they resist, we crush them without mercy."

This formula—submission or destruction—mirrors Roman *parcere subiectis* and Hebrew *herem*. The framework appears universally across civilizations because it addresses the universal problem: how to justify eliminating populations practicing alternative lifeways.

VII. THE EASTERN HEMISPHERE CONSOLIDATION: 3000 BCE - 1500 CE

The Multi-Millennial Elimination Process

Between approximately 3000 BCE and 1500 CE, the Eastern Hemisphere underwent systematic consolidation under agricultural states. This process occurred independently across multiple regions:

In Mesopotamia and the Near East (3500-500 BCE), Sumerian city-states emerged c. 3500 BCE, displacing or incorporating mobile populations in the Tigris-Euphrates valley. Akkadian, Babylonian, and Assyrian empires successively expanded control, eliminating autonomous populations. By 500 BCE, most favorable agricultural land was controlled by states; remaining mobile peoples (desert Bedouins) were confined to marginal territories.

In the Mediterranean and Europe (3000 BCE - 500 CE), Minoan and Mycenaean palace economies emerged c. 2000 BCE. Greek city-states systematically expanded, eliminating or subordinating local populations (documented in Homeric references to "wild peoples"). Roman expansion (500 BCE - 200 CE) conquered the Mediterranean basin and much of Europe, systematically subjugating "barbarian" tribes. By 500 CE, most European populations were

under state control; remaining autonomous groups were confined to forests, mountains, and steppes.

In South Asia (2500 BCE - 500 CE), the Indus Valley civilization emerged c. 2500 BCE. Vedic expansion and state formation spread across the Indian subcontinent. By 500 CE, most fertile regions were under state systems; tribal populations were pushed to forests and hills.

In East Asia (2000 BCE - 1500 CE), Shang and Zhou dynasties emerged in the Yellow River valley c. 2000-1000 BCE. Systematic expansion of Chinese state control involved centuries-long campaigns against nomadic peoples. By 1500 CE, most of China proper was under imperial control; nomadic peoples were confined to steppes and borderlands.

In Sub-Saharan Africa (1000 BCE - 1500 CE), Bantu expansion gradually displaced hunter-gatherer populations. State formation occurred in West Africa (Ghana, Mali, Songhai), East Africa (Swahili coast), and Southern Africa (Great Zimbabwe). By 1500 CE, most favorable agricultural territories were controlled by states; San and Pygmy populations were confined to deserts and rainforests.

The Pattern

Across all these regions, the process followed similar trajectory:

In the first stage of initial state formation, agricultural surplus enabled non-laboring specialists, including warriors and priests. Small states emerged in river valleys and fertile plains.

In the second stage of expansion and consolidation, states expanded into surrounding territories, encountering mobile hunter-gatherer or pastoral populations. Superior military organization and equipment enabled conquest or displacement.

In the third stage of elimination or incorporation, mobile populations faced three options: flee to marginal lands (deserts, mountains, forests, steppes); submit to state control, accepting subordinate status; or resist and face systematic elimination.

In the fourth stage of narrative justification, conquest was celebrated through epic poetry, religious texts, or historical chronicles. Violence against mobile peoples was framed as divine command (Hebrew scriptures), cosmic necessity (Chinese Mandate of Heaven), civilizing mission (Roman expansion), or heroic achievement (Greek epic).

In the fifth stage of cultural transmission, the next generation learned through education that mobility and foraging were inferior to sedentary agriculture, that state hierarchy was natural and necessary, that ancestors righteously eliminated alternatives, and that celebrating conquest was culturally appropriate.

The Result by 1500 CE

By the time Portuguese ships began exploring African coasts in the 1400s, the Eastern Hemisphere had achieved near-complete consolidation. Most favorable agricultural territories were under state control. Remaining autonomous populations were confined to deserts, mountains, steppes, and forests. Conflict was increasingly between states competing for control of already-subjugated populations.

The narrative technologies had been refined over millennia. Religious frameworks (Christianity, Islam, Buddhism, Confucianism) naturalized hierarchy. Legal systems codified extraction. Educational institutions transmitted values. Literary forms celebrated conquest.

When European expansion encountered the Americas, it brought all of this—five thousand years of accumulated military technology, organizational sophistication, and ideological justification—against populations at various stages of state formation or still practicing mobile autonomous lifeways.

VIII. THE ENCOUNTER: COLUMBUS AND RECOGNITION

The First Contact

When Christopher Columbus reached the Caribbean in 1492, his journal entries reveal immediate recognition of a familiar pattern:

"They should be good servants and intelligent, for I observed that they quickly took in what was said to them, and I believe that they would easily be made Christians, as it appeared to me that they had no religion."

And further:

"They have no iron. Their spears are made of cane. They would make fine servants. With fifty men we could subjugate them all and make them do whatever we want."

Columbus was not encountering genuinely unknown situations. He was recognizing patterns familiar from European and Mediterranean context. Populations without state organization equaled "lacking civilization." Populations without Christianity equaled "lacking true religion." Populations without military capacity to resist equaled "suitable for subordination."

The Taino were to Columbus what "shaggy peoples" were to Bronze Age Greeks, what Canaanites were to ancient Hebrews, what Germanic tribes were to Romans—populations practicing alternative lifeways suitable for elimination or incorporation.

The Legal Framework

Columbus's calculations drew on established legal doctrine. The Spanish *Requerimiento* (1513)—a document read to indigenous populations (often in Spanish they could not understand) before attacking them—made explicit the theological justification:

"God... has given charge of all people to one person called Saint Peter... and commanded him to place his seat in Rome, as the place most fitting to rule the world... One of the past Popes who succeeded Saint Peter... made donation of these islands and mainland... to the said King and Queen of Castile..."

If indigenous populations accepted Spanish authority and Christianity: "His Majesty and I in his name will receive you with all love and charity."

If they refused: "We shall make war against you in all ways and manners that we can, and shall subject you to the yoke and obedience of the Church... and shall do you all the mischief and damage that we can..."

The logic replicates Hebrew *herem*: divine gift of land, divine authorization of violence against current occupants, binary choice between submission and destruction.

IX. THE DEPLOYMENT: IDENTICAL MECHANISM, NEW HEMISPHERE

Material Violence: Campaigns of Elimination

Just as ancient agricultural states deployed surplus-supported warriors against mobile populations, European colonial powers deployed accumulated military capacity against indigenous Americans.

The Spanish conquistador model (1500s) demonstrated the pattern. Hernán Cortés in Mexico (1519-1521) commanded a small European force (300-600 men) plus thousands of indigenous allies. He possessed advanced metallurgy (steel weapons and armor vs. obsidian and bronze), horses (psychological and mobility advantage), gunpowder weapons (cannons, firearms), naval support (ability to retreat to ships), and alliance with subordinated peoples (Tlaxcalans opposing Aztec rule). The pattern mirrors Roman conquest of Gaul: small professional force, superior military technology, alliance with local populations opposing dominant power, systematic elimination of resistant leadership, installation of colonial administration.

North American colonial expansion (1600s-1800s) followed similar logic with different timeline. Initial settlement involved small coastal communities, disease devastating indigenous populations, gradual expansion inland, and local conflicts ("Indian Wars"). Systematic campaigns followed: organized military operations, US Army conducting systematic elimination, forced removal (Trail of Tears, 1838), and the reservation system confining survivors.

The campaigns had official names revealing intent: the Pequot War (1636-1638) involved deliberate massacre including burning villages with inhabitants inside. King Philip's War (1675-1678) was a systematic campaign eliminating indigenous resistance in New England. The Northwest Indian War (1785-1795) cleared the Ohio valley for settlement. The Indian Wars of the West (1850s-1890s) were systematic military campaigns culminating in the Wounded Knee massacre (1890).

Disease as Force Multiplier

European diseases (smallpox, measles, typhus) functioned as unprecedented force multiplier, killing 50-90% of indigenous populations in many regions within decades of contact.

Ancient conquests occurred within disease pools populations shared. Colonial encounter involved complete disease pool differential, demographic collapse enabling easier conquest, and clearing land without military effort.

One Puritan observer in New England (1630s) noted:

"The Indians are neere all dead of the Small Poxe, so as the Lord hathe cleared our title to what we possess."

God was clearing the land—direct deployment of biblical Canaan conquest narrative. The disease did the elimination; colonists attributed it to divine will.

Theological Justification

Colonial theological frameworks replicated ancient patterns precisely:

Cotton Mather (1702) on King Philip's War:

"The Indians began to be quarrelsome... and the devil, taking advantage of their disposition, sent among them diabolical prophets and sorcerers... which engaged them in a plot against the whole colony."

The framework makes indigenous resistance demonic influence, God supporting colonial expansion (chosen people narrative), violence against resisters spiritual warfare, and elimination righteous rather than criminal.

This is direct deployment of biblical conquest narrative: Canaanites worshipped false gods and resisted, so God commanded their destruction; indigenous Americans worship false gods and resist, so God commands their elimination.

Civilizing Mission

Colonial ideology presented subordination as civilization's gift.

The French *mission civilisatrice* explicitly framed conquest as beneficial transformation. Jules Ferry (French Prime Minister, 1885) articulated the logic:

"Superior races have a right, because they have a duty. They have the duty to civilize inferior races... I maintain that European nations acquit themselves with generosity, with grandeur, and with sincerity of this superior civilizing duty."

Europeans have superior civilization (naturalized hierarchy). This superiority creates obligation to share it. Conquest fulfills duty, not exploitation. Indigenous peoples benefit from subordination.

The Carlisle Indian School motto (1879) revealed the mechanism with brutal honesty: "Kill the Indian, Save the Man." Indigenous culture must be eliminated. This elimination is framed as salvation. Forced assimilation (boarding schools separating children from families, banning languages and religions) is presented as education. Cultural destruction is reframed as uplift.

X. THE TEXTUAL PARALLELS

Side by Side

The structural identity between ancient and colonial justifications is complete:

Describing Target Populations:

Ancient sources describe "shaggy people of the wilds" (Homer), people who "grazed on grass like sheep" (Sumerian), those "clothed in skins" (Caesar on Germanic tribes), those with "no proper religion" (multiple ancient sources), and those with "no walled cities" (Chinese on Xiongnu).

Colonial sources describe "savages in the wilderness," people "living like beasts" (multiple colonial sources), "naked savages" (Columbus, multiple colonizers), "heathens lacking Christianity" (missionary literature), and those with "no civilization" (colonial assessments).

Divine Authorization:

Ancient sources declare "God commanded... devote them to complete destruction" (Deuteronomy), "Zeus's descendants have right to rule" (Greek mythology), and "Mandate of Heaven" (Chinese).

Colonial sources invoke "God has granted these lands to Christians" (Papal bulls, colonial charters), "Divine providence ordained Christian dominion" (Puritan theology), and "Manifest Destiny" (American expansion).

Civilizing Mission:

Ancient sources proclaim "bringing order to barbarian regions" (Chinese historians), "taming the proud, sparing the conquered who submit" (Virgil), and "teaching proper ways" (Roman ideology).

Colonial sources assert "bringing civilization to savages" (colonial literature), "uplifting backward peoples" (colonial administrators), and "Christianizing and civilizing" (missionary reports).

Celebrating Conquerors:

Ancient sources celebrate Polypoetes for "driving out wild peoples," Joshua for destroying Canaanite cities, Caesar's triumphs honoring Gallic conquest, and epic poetry preserving heroes' glory.

Colonial sources celebrate Columbus for "discovering" Americas, Cortés for conquering Mexico, Pizarro for conquering Peru, and "exploration" narratives and pioneer mythology.

The structural identity is complete. Colonial sources were not inventing new justifications—they were deploying frameworks refined over five millennia.

XI. THE CELEBRATION: FROM CONQUEST TO "DISCOVERY"

Transforming Violence into Heroism

Just as ancient texts celebrated eliminators as heroes (Polypoetes, Joshua, Caesar), modern culture celebrates colonial conquerors:

Columbus Day (U.S. Federal Holiday) celebrates 1492 "discovery," ignores that continents were inhabited, frames elimination as exploration, and provides annual reinforcement teaching children to admire Columbus. Modern scholarly reassessment reveals population decline in Caribbean of 95%+ within 50 years of Columbus, Spanish colonial practices of forced labor, systematic abuse, deliberate terror, and Columbus's own writings documenting cruelty and extraction. Yet popular culture maintains heroic framing.

Thanksgiving Mythology (U.S. National Holiday) presents a narrative of Pilgrims and friendly Indians sharing a feast. The reality involved Wampanoag providing aid to struggling colonists, followed by systematic dispossession and elimination. The function: framing colonial-indigenous relations as cooperative rather than violent. Annual ritual teaches appropriate historical memory.

Pioneer and Explorer Mythology from 19th-20th century American culture produced extensive celebration: "Winning the West" framing conquest as achievement, "Pioneer" mythology

celebrating settlers, "Indian fighter" as heroic archetype, and Western films making indigenous elimination entertainment.

Frederick Jackson Turner's "Frontier Thesis" (1893) celebrated westward expansion in terms familiar to any ancient historian:

"American social development has been continually beginning over again on the frontier... The frontier is the line of most rapid and effective Americanization."

The "frontier" is land occupied by indigenous peoples. "Americanization" is elimination and replacement. Turner presents this as defining American character—celebrating the elimination as national achievement.

The Educational Transmission

School curricula across the Americas traditionally taught that Columbus "discovered" America (ignoring indigenous presence), that colonization brought "civilization" (delegitimizing indigenous cultures), that indigenous population decline was unfortunate but inevitable (naturalizing elimination), and that national founding involved heroic pioneers (celebrating elimination).

This is identical to ancient Greek students learning Homer celebrating Polypoetes, Roman students studying Caesar's Gallic conquest, Chinese students learning dynastic histories celebrating expansion, and Hebrew students studying conquest narratives.

Same mechanism: train each generation to identify with eliminators, celebrate conquest, naturalize subordination.

XII. WHY IT WORKED SO THOROUGHLY

The Five-Millennium Technology Gap

The thoroughness of colonial elimination compared to ancient conquests resulted from cumulative advantages across multiple domains:

Military technology had been refined for 5,000 years. Ancient states eliminating hunter-gatherers had modest advantages: bronze or iron weapons vs. stone (real but limited advantage), organized tactics vs. individual fighting (coordination advantage), and numbers (agricultural surplus supporting more warriors). Colonial powers had revolutionary advantages: steel weapons and armor (vastly superior to stone, bronze, or obsidian), gunpowder weapons (psychological and material superiority), horses (speed, height, shock advantage—indigenous Americans had no horses until European introduction), oceangoing ships (mobility, logistics, retreat option), and 5,000 years of continuous military innovation.

Organizational sophistication reflected 5,000 years of state development. Ancient states had basic administration. Colonial powers had centuries-old bureaucratic structures, sophisticated taxation and financing systems, legal frameworks developed over millennia, educational institutions training administrators, banking and credit systems enabling long-term investment, and joint-stock companies distributing risk and mobilizing capital.

Information advantage derived from literacy and accumulated knowledge. Ancient conquerors had limited geographic knowledge and no written records from previous conquests. European colonizers had classical texts documenting Roman conquest methods, biblical narratives providing theological justifications, written military manuals codifying tactics, maps and navigation knowledge, and ability to share information across empires through printing.

Narrative sophistication represented 5,000 years of refinement. European colonizers deployed justification narratives perfected over millennia: theological frameworks (Christianity absorbing and adapting ancient justifications), legal doctrines (*terra nullius*, just war theory, divine right), philosophical traditions naturalizing hierarchy, educational systems transmitting values, and literary forms celebrating conquest (chronicles, histories, epic narratives).

The Completeness of Consolidation

Ancient conquests were local or regional. Colonial expansion was hemispheric because there was no external refuge. When Greeks eliminated "shaggy peoples" from Mount Pelion, survivors could flee to other mountains. When Romans conquered Gaul, resistant populations could retreat beyond imperial borders. When colonial powers expanded across the Americas, indigenous populations had nowhere to flee—the entire hemisphere was being conquered simultaneously.

Coordinated expansion by multiple European powers (Spanish, Portuguese, French, English, Dutch) meant no safe havens. Populations fleeing one colonial power encountered another.

Technological penetration enabled by advanced naval technology meant reaching previously inaccessible regions (Pacific islands, Arctic coasts). No territory was too remote for eventual contact.

Ideological totality unified the project. European powers shared Christian framework viewing entire world as legitimately subject to Christian dominion (Doctrine of Discovery, Papal bulls). Unlike ancient states with limited geographic ambitions, European expansion aimed at global subordination.

XIII. THE COMPLETE HISTORICAL ARC

Five Thousand Years

Synthesizing the evidence produces a unified narrative of the past five millennia:

Around 3000 BCE, agricultural states emerged independently across Eurasia and North Africa. Each faced populations practicing mobile hunter-gathering or pastoralism in surrounding territories. Each independently developed the same solutions: use agricultural surplus to support warrior classes, conduct organized military campaigns eliminating or displacing mobile populations, frame violence as divinely ordained, cosmically necessary, or civilizing mission, celebrate eliminators as heroes in epic, scripture, or history, and train the next generation to view conquest as admirable.

By 2000 BCE, writing enabled preserving and transmitting justification narratives. Epic poetry (Gilgamesh, later Homer), religious texts (Vedas, later Hebrew Bible), and historical chronicles (Chinese annals) codified the pattern. Educational institutions trained elites in both administrative skills and appropriate ideological frameworks.

By 1000 BCE, most favorable agricultural territories across Eurasia were under state control. Remaining autonomous populations were confined to deserts, mountains, steppes, and forests. Conflict increasingly occurred between states competing for control of already-subjugated populations. Narrative technologies became increasingly sophisticated—philosophical traditions (Greek, Chinese, Indian) rationalized hierarchy; legal systems codified extraction; religious frameworks naturalized subordination.

By 500 CE, Eastern Hemisphere consolidation was largely complete. Roman Empire controlled the Mediterranean and much of Europe. Chinese empire dominated East Asia. Indian kingdoms controlled the subcontinent. Islamic expansion had begun. Remaining autonomous populations were limited to marginal territories.

Around 1500 CE, the trigger occurred. Christian Europe and Islamic empires competed for trade access to India and China. Portuguese exploration seeking to bypass Ottoman control accidentally encountered the Americas—the last large landmass where state consolidation remained incomplete. European powers found populations at various stages of state formation or still practicing mobile autonomous lifeways.

Between 1500 and 1900, the final conquest occurred. European powers deployed 5,000 years of accumulated military, organizational, and narrative technology against indigenous Americans. The mechanisms were identical to ancient conquests but more effective due to superior weapons (steel, gunpowder, horses), superior organization (bureaucracy, finance, logistics), disease advantage (90%+ population losses in many regions), sophisticated justification narratives (Christian theology, scientific racism, civilizing mission), coordinated hemispheric expansion (no external refuges). Result: Within 400 years, most indigenous populations were eliminated or confined to reservations. Autonomous lifeways were largely eliminated. The Americas were incorporated into the global state system.

From 1900 to the present, the completion has proceeded. Final autonomous populations remain under pressure. Development discourse frames remaining independence as "backwardness"

requiring incorporation. Market economies penetrate last refuges (Amazon, Papua New Guinea, Arctic). The entire planet is now under state systems running extraction. The five-thousand-year process is essentially complete.

What "History" Documents

This reframing suggests "world history" as conventionally taught more accurately describes: the systematic elimination of autonomous human lifeways and the transformation of that elimination into celebrated progress.

The "shaggy people" are gone. The Canaanites are gone. The Germanic tribes are gone. The Xiongnu are gone. The indigenous Americans are mostly gone. The few remaining autonomous populations are under pressure to integrate.

Freedom—in the sense of populations subsisting autonomously without subordination to extraction systems—has been systematically eliminated from the planet. And this elimination has been celebrated as progress across five thousand years.

XIV. MODERN CONTINUITIES

The Persistence of the Pattern

The mechanisms documented in ancient texts did not disappear with those civilizations. They secularized and adapted while maintaining core functions. Modern celebrations of conquest, elimination of alternatives, and hero-worship of those who expand control follow patterns established millennia ago.

Economic "disruption" as modern conquest represents one continuity. Contemporary capitalism celebrates "disruptors"—entrepreneurs who eliminate existing businesses, industries, or employment patterns—using language and frameworks descended from ancient conquest narratives:

The hero entrepreneur follows the ancient pattern. Polypoetes drives out wild peoples; Caesar conquers Gaul. Bezos "disrupts" retail; Musk "revolutionizes" transportation. Individual hero eliminating alternatives receives celebration.

Creative destruction replicates the civilizing mission. Roman civilization replaces barbarism; Chinese order replaces chaos. New industries replace old; "innovative" businesses eliminate "obsolete" ones. Elimination is reframed as progress rather than as destruction.

Those who lose are framed as inferior. Wild peoples and barbarians lacked true civilization. Disrupted businesses and workers lacked innovation and adaptability. Victims of elimination deserved their fate due to inferiority.

Celebrating the winners continues the ancient practice. Epic poetry preserves conquerors' glory. Business biographies, Forbes lists, and TED talks celebrate "disruptors." Culture trains audiences to admire those who eliminate alternatives.

National military heroism follows the same pattern. Monuments and holidays honor generals who conquered territories, celebrate military victories as national holidays, teach children to revere ancestors who expanded control through violence. "Defense" framing presents wars of expansion as protection, mirroring ancient patterns of presenting conquest as defense. Indigenous populations defending their territories are "hostiles"; imperial forces conquering territory are "defending civilization."

The Narrative's Persistence

Most remarkably, the narrative frameworks justifying elimination persist:

Ancient sources proclaimed that wild peoples lacked civilization and heroes drove them out. Modern frameworks assert that primitive peoples lacked development and progress reached them.

Ancient sources declared that gods granted dominion over inferior peoples. Modern frameworks assert that market forces naturally subordinate inefficient systems.

Ancient sources celebrated conquest as heroic achievement. Modern frameworks celebrate exploration as heroic achievement.

The content changed—markets instead of gods, development instead of civilization, economics instead of divine command. The function remains identical: naturalizing hierarchy, justifying extraction, celebrating those who eliminate alternatives.

XV. CONCLUSION: THE CHOICE THE EVIDENCE POSES

Recognizing the Mechanism

The examination of Greek, Hebrew, Roman, Chinese, and colonial sources demonstrates a universal pattern across civilizations. Agricultural states possessing surplus-supported warrior classes systematically eliminated mobile populations through organized violence, then transformed that violence into heroic narrative through epic poetry, sacred history, and dynastic chronicles.

The pattern's universality—appearing in civilizations with no cultural contact—indicates structural dynamic rather than coincidence. Everywhere that agricultural elites sought to expand control while maintaining legitimacy, similar mechanisms emerged: material foundation in agricultural surplus supporting non-laboring warriors; strategic incentive in mobile populations

occupying desired territories; violent elimination through organized military campaigns killing or displacing alternatives; celebratory narrative reframing violence as heroism, divine command, or civilizing mission; intergenerational transmission through narratives training each generation to celebrate conquest.

The texts are remarkably honest about the violence while being sophisticated about its justification. They don't deny that "shaggy peoples," Canaanites, Germanic tribes, or Xiongnu existed and were eliminated—they celebrate that elimination as achievement.

The Training Function

These texts functioned as multi-generational training programs, teaching populations to identify with conquerors eliminating alternatives rather than with those being eliminated, to view violence against "uncivilized" populations as categorically different from violence against "civilized" peoples, to interpret resistance to conquest as aggression or rebellion justifying elimination, to link successful conquest to divine favor or cosmic purpose, and to accept that celebrated ancestors eliminated alternatives, establishing precedent for contemporary violence.

The mechanism's power lies in early installation and cultural reinforcement. Children learn these narratives before developing critical capacity to question them. By adulthood, the frameworks feel natural—conquest *is* heroic, alternatives *are* inferior, elimination *does* represent progress. Questioning these premises means questioning culture itself.

The Evidence's Implications

Understanding these ancient patterns illuminates contemporary dynamics:

Colonial violence used frameworks identical to Roman conquest—civilizing mission, divine authorization, celebrating heroes who eliminated indigenous populations. The ancient texts provided both templates and precedents.

Economic disruption celebrating entrepreneurs "disrupting" industries follows the same pattern as celebrating military heroes eliminating barbarians. Different violence, same celebratory framework.

Military heroism taught through reverence for generals who conquered territories continues the tradition of epic poetry celebrating heroes who drove out wild peoples.

Resistance to reframing occurs because questioning whether celebrated ancestors committed systematic violence means questioning foundational cultural values.

The Question

Ancient literature preserved detailed documentation of how populations practicing alternative lifeways were systematically eliminated through organized violence, then how that violence was

transformed into celebrated heroism through narrative frameworks that trained audiences across generations to identify with conquerors rather than with conquered.

Colonial expansion deployed precisely the same mechanisms documented in Bronze Age Greek epic, Hebrew scripture, Roman history, and Chinese annals. The textual parallels are not coincidence but evidence of structural continuity—five thousand years of refined techniques meeting their final large-scale targets.

This documentation poses a choice to every reader:

One option is to continue the pattern. Accept the narratives as describing admirable achievement. Teach children that Polypoetes was heroic for driving out wild peoples, that Joshua righteously destroyed Canaanites, that Caesar civilized Gaul, that Chinese emperors properly eliminated barbarians, that Columbus discovered America and pioneers won the West. Maintain the frameworks justifying contemporary elimination of alternatives.

The other option is to recognize the mechanism. See the texts as documenting systematic violence disguised through sophisticated propaganda. Understand that the "shaggy people," Canaanites, Germanic tribes, Xiongnu, and indigenous Americans were populations defending their autonomy against imperial expansion. Recognize that modern celebrations of "disruption" and "conquest" follow patterns established by ancient elites training populations to admire those who eliminate alternatives.

The texts are honest about the violence. They document the elimination. They preserve the mechanisms. They show exactly how literature trains audiences to celebrate conquest across generations.

The question is not whether the mechanism exists—the evidence is overwhelming. The question is whether, having seen it documented so clearly across five millennia, populations will continue accepting it or will recognize that celebrating the elimination of alternatives serves power rather than humanity.

These ancient heroes—the ones celebrated in epic and scripture and history—eliminated mobile peoples to expand agricultural states' territories. They killed or drove off populations practicing different lifeways to secure land for agricultural expansion. They established precedents that violence against "uncivilized" peoples is admirable rather than criminal.

And the texts trained generation after generation to celebrate them for it.

Understanding how the mechanism worked—how violence becomes heroism through narrative, how literature trains identification with conquerors, how precedents justify future elimination—is the first step toward questioning whether that pattern should continue.

The ancient elites showed us exactly how it's done. They documented the process, refined the techniques, and transmitted the traditions. They proved that populations can be trained to celebrate the elimination of alternatives rather than to mourn what was lost.

That was their achievement. And their warning.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Ancient Sources

Greek Epic and Historical Texts

Homer. *The Iliad.* Multiple translations recommended:

- Fagles, Robert, trans. *The Iliad*. New York: Viking Penguin, 1990.
- Lattimore, Richmond, trans. *The Iliad*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1951.
- Note: Book 2, lines 738-744 contain the "shaggy people of the wilds" passage central to this analysis.

Hesiod. *Works and Days* and *Theogony.* Translated by M.L. West. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1988.

Thucydides. *History of the Peloponnesian War.* Translated by Rex Warner. Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1972.

Herodotus. *The Histories.* Translated by Aubrey de Sélincourt, revised by John Marincola. London: Penguin, 2003.

Hebrew Scripture

The New Oxford Annotated Bible (New Revised Standard Version). Edited by Michael D. Coogan. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

The Jewish Study Bible (Jewish Publication Society Translation). Edited by Adele Berlin and Marc Zvi Brettler. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.

Key passages: Deuteronomy 7, 20; Joshua 6-12; Judges 1-2; 1 Samuel 15.

Roman Sources

Caesar, Julius. *The Gallic War.* Translated by Carolyn Hammond. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996.

Tacitus. *Agricola* and *Germania.* Translated by Harold Mattingly, revised by J.B. Rives. London: Penguin, 2009.

Virgil. *The Aeneid.* Translated by Robert Fagles. New York: Viking, 2006.

Chinese Sources

Sima Qian. *Records of the Grand Historian* (Shiji). Translated by Burton Watson. New York: Columbia University Press, 1993.

The Book of Documents (Shujing). Translated by Martin Kern. In *Sources of Chinese Tradition*, edited by William Theodore de Bary. New York: Columbia University Press, 1999.

Colonial Period Sources

Columbus, Christopher. *The Diario of Christopher Columbus's First Voyage to America.* Translated by Oliver Dunn and James E. Kelley Jr. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1989.

Las Casas, Bartolomé de. *A Short Account of the Destruction of the Indies.* Translated by Nigel Griffin. London: Penguin, 1992.

Mather, Cotton. *Magnalia Christi Americana* (1702). Selections in *The Puritans in America*, edited by Alan Heimert and Andrew Delbanco. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1985.

Turner, Frederick Jackson. "The Significance of the Frontier in American History" (1893). In *The Frontier in American History*. New York: Henry Holt, 1920.

Theoretical and Comparative Studies

On State Formation and Agricultural Expansion

Scott, James C. *Against the Grain: A Deep History of the Earliest States*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2017.

Scott, James C. *The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009.

Mann, Michael. *The Sources of Social Power, Volume 1: A History of Power from the Beginning to AD 1760*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986.

On Ancient Conquest Narratives

Niditch, Susan. *War in the Hebrew Bible: A Study in the Ethics of Violence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993.

Nagy, Gregory. *The Best of the Achaeans: Concepts of the Hero in Archaic Greek Poetry*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1979.

On Colonial Violence and Ideology

Seed, Patricia. *Ceremonies of Possession in Europe's Conquest of the New World, 1492-1640*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Pagden, Anthony. *Lords of All the World: Ideologies of Empire in Spain, Britain and France, c. 1500-c. 1800*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1995.

Wolfe, Patrick. "Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native." *Journal of Genocide Research* 8, no. 4 (2006): 387-409.

On Cultural Memory and Transmission

Assmann, Jan. *Cultural Memory and Early Civilization: Writing, Remembrance, and Political Imagination*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Connerton, Paul. *How Societies Remember*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

END OF PAPER

This paper combines and extends analysis from "The Heroic Conquest of Freedom: How Ancient Literature Celebrated the Violent Elimination of Mobile Peoples" and "The Last Conquest: How Five Millennia of Perfected Domination Met the Final Frontier," demonstrating the structural continuity between ancient conquest narratives and colonial violence.

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/